



156 Water Street, Exeter, NH 03833
Tel. 603-778-0885 ♦ Fax: 603-778-9183
email@rpc-nh.org ♦ www.rpc-nh.org

MINUTES

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE
Rockingham Planning Commission
February 25, 2015
RPC Conference Room, Exeter, NH

Members Present: G. Coppelman (Chairman); P. Wilson (Vice Chair); B. Goodrich (Treasurer); J. Whitney (Secretary); T. Moore (Past Chair); D. Marshall, R. Taintor, M. Turell, B. Kravitz, K. Woolhouse, (Members at Large)

Staff: C. Sinnott (Executive Director)

Chairman Coppelman called the meeting to order at 6:10 p.m. and asked everyone to give their name for recording purposes.

I. Minutes of February 4, 2015

Turell moved to approve the Minutes of February 4, 2015 as presented; Goodrich seconded.
SO VOTED.

ii. financial Report January 2015

Sinnott noted this is the first statement w/ new budget amendment. The Bank Service charge was noted last month and that was due to the Credit Line fee, not a recurring expense. We are on par for the income at this point in the year, which is a little unusual.

II. First Look at FY 16 Funding

Sinnott stated this is the first look at funding and as usual, there are gaps at this point in time. This one is a little worse than usual, but expected. One of the reasons we didn't fill Dylan's position is because we knew w/ Broadband and GSF projects ending, there would be less funding anticipated in the new year. On top of that is the reduction of funds from NHDOT in the UPWP. DOT is feeling budget pressures and has decided to withhold 10% of planning funds for themselves. Our change will be less than 10% change because we will have carryover funds from this year to minimize that reduction to some degree.

There are some projects in the wings, such as the FTA 5310 grant approved at our last meeting which will allow coast to receive purchase of service funds through ACT and we will administer

that. Also, a new project of special merit that will allow us to do a vulnerability assessment extending into great bay communities and there is a possibility the Integrated Watershed Planning project (attempt to do watershed modeling) may be continued in phase II. We would have a larger role in phase II. Major outcome of 1st project was 50% of nonpoint source load going into Squamscott River comes from Stratham, Exeter, Newfields upper watershed towns. So those towns need to be enticed to participate in this project and devise watershed impairment controls. Budget not decided yet. the combination of these may get us w/in 8 to 10% deficit of operating budget.